# **Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)**

| 1. Summary information | n          |                                  |            |  |          |
|------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|--|----------|
| School                 | The Fawber | rt & Barnard Infants' School     |            |  |          |
| Academic Year          | 2016/17    | Total PP budget                  | £15,020.00 | Date of most recent PP Review                  | n/a      |
| Total number of pupils | 220        | Number of pupils eligible for PP | 11         | Date for next internal review of this strategy | Jan 2018 |

Current Attainment at end of KS1 – PP children = 4 children in Year 2

|         | % of PP<br>achieving<br>expected<br>standard<br>at end of KS1 | % of PP<br>achieving<br>greater depth<br>at end of KS1 | % of Non PP<br>achieving<br>expected<br>standard<br>at end of KS1 | % of Non PP<br>achieving<br>greater depth<br>at end of KS1 |
|---------|---|--|---|--|
| READING | 25% (1 out of 4 children)                                     | 0  | 80  | 36   |
|         |   |  |   |  |
| WRITING | 25%   | 0  | 80  | 21   |
|         |   |  |   |  |
| MATHS   | 0%  | 0  | 77  | 30   |
|         |   |  |   |  |

| 2. Ba  | rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)  |   |
|--------|---|---|
| In-sch | ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)  |   |
| A.     | 45% of PP children are also on the SEN register with specific learning difficulties.                                      |   |
| В.     | 100% of Year 2 PP children (4) did not achieve the expected standard in EYFS in reading, writing and mexpected standards. | aths and did not make enough accelerated progress in KS1 to meet  |
| Extern | al barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance ra                                   | tes)  |
| A.     | Attendance of PP children is low compared to non PP. This is partially due to the social care needs of so                 | ome families.   |
| В.     | Families with social care needs demonstrate reduced ability to support children at home and little access completed)      | to enrichment activities (pupil's reading records not filled in, homework not   |
| 3. De  | sired outcomes  |   |
|        | Desired outcomes and how they will be measured  | Success criteria  |
| A.     | Improve attendance of PP children   | Attendance of PP children will be in line with our school target of 96% The school met its overall attendance target, achieving 96.4% |

## Year 2 (4 children)

- 1 out of 4 PP children in Year 2 met the attendance target of 96%.
- 1 child was reported to county as a child 'Missing in Education' in June 2017.
- 1 child's family received a penalty notice for non-attendance in June 2017.
- 1 child suffers severe asthma which impedes on attendance figures.

### Year 1 (5 children)

- 1 child with very poor attendance (70%) received a penalty notice from the LA in June 2017.
- 1 child was very close to the target at 95%
- 3 children exceeded the target with between 98 and 100% attendance

# Year R (2 children)

- 1 child exceeded the target with 98% attendance
- 1 child's attendance improved from 70 90.4% at the end of the year. Medical treatment affected this child's attendance.
- B. Improve progress of PP children with a view to diminishing the difference between PP and non PP children's attainment at end of KS1

  PP children's attainment at end of KS1

PP children to make at least 5 steps progress from their starting points (minimum sufficient) on AM7.

Those with significant SEN measurable progress using Wakefield steps.

## Year 2 (4 children)

- 1 child made very strong progress (8 steps) in Reading and Writing and minimum sufficient progress (5 steps) in Maths.
- 1 child with identified SEN made minimum sufficient (5 steps) in Reading, (4 steps) in writing and good progress (6 steps) in Maths.
- 1 child made no progress in Year 1 and was awaiting a SEN assessment. In Year 2 after consultation with the SPLD (Specific Learning Difficulties) base she made 3 steps progress in Reading and Writing and 4 steps in Maths.
- 1 child's progress at the end of year could not be measured accurately as she became a child missing in education.

Good and strong progress made is due to rigorous tracking by SLT; support staff, TA and Teacher led interventions, guided group work and quality first teaching. Case studies are held for each child.

### Year 1 (5 children)

In both Reading and Writing, 4 children (80%) made good or better progress. 2 children (40%) made very strong progress.

In Maths, 3 children (60%) made good or better progress.

#### **Attainment**

In Reading, 4 children were broadly / at ARE (Age Related Expectations), 1 child was below ARE.

In Writing, 3 children were broadly / at ARE. 2 children were below ARE.

In Maths, 4 children were broadly / at ARE. 1 child was below ARE.

#### Year R (2 children)

100% - (both children) made expected progress (3 steps) in EYFS in all 7 areas of learning.

100% (both children) made more than expected progress (4+ steps) in 6 out of 7 areas of learning where 1 child made the expected progress in Literacy and 1 child made more. Children are expected to make 5 or 6 steps progress from entry to Nursery to exit in Reception. One child made accelerated progress in Reading, Writing and Maths making 6 steps in reading and writing in one year and 7 steps in maths in one year. Overall making 8 steps in Reading and Writing and 9 steps in Maths from their starting point.

| Academic year   | 2016/17   |  |  |                                      |   |
|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Academic year   |   |  |  |                                      |   |
|   | elow enable schools to do<br>whole school strategies.   | emonstrate how they are using the pupi   | I premium to improve classroom p   | edagogy, pro                         | ovide targeted                              |
| i. Quality of teachi  | ing for all   |  |  |                                      |   |
| Desired outcome   | Chosen action / approach  | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?  | How will you ensure it is implemented well?  | Staff lead                           | When will you review implementation?        |
| To increase the number of exceeding children in   | Introduction of Montessori philosophy across the  | Liaison with other schools has shown this philosophy to raise attainment across all  | Staff to receive training direct from the Montessori Centre.   | SLT                                  | January 2017                                |
| reading, writing and  | EYFS  | areas of learning. The programme was   |  |                                      | April 2017                                  |
| maths, with a particular focus on writing in the EYFS.  |   | originally devised to support children with learning difficulties and those children with social care needs but is now widely used in education.   | SLT will monitor via VIP and learning walks.   |                                      | July 2017                                   |
| from 16% in 2016 to 40% i<br>There were 2 PP children i   | n 2017. Both writing and read<br>n Year R and although neither<br>eps in reading, writing and 4 st  | FS saw huge impact on children's learning in all ing also improved significantly. of them made it to a GLD, they both made signipes in maths. One child made 6 steps in reading  | ficant progress across the year. Children  |                                      |   |
| To raise phonics<br>attainment in Year 1 to at<br>least meet the National<br>Standard in 2017   | Targeted teaching of phonics for identified pupils  | Accelerated progress for PP children with more reaching the expected standard at the end of Year 1.  | SLT to carry out termly testing of all children and monitor progress of PP. SLT to provide teachers with detailed analysis and actions for groups and individuals. SLT to monitor actions. | SLT                                  | Nov 2016<br>Feb 2017<br>June 2017           |
| SLT monitored this through<br>Progress was made across<br>June 2017, 87% of the coh<br>All PP children made acce<br>good progress with their so | n planning scrutiny, verbal feeds the year group each time. 44 nort met the 2017 National star lerated progress across the yestores. In June 2017, 4 out of the | n wrote detailed analysis after each test identifying black from teachers and scrutiny of provision may of the cohort had met the required standard in address when tested.  ar. Only 1 out of the 5 children (20%) was at the set 5 children (80%) met the 2017 National standard provided in the standard support each day to ensure that they met the required. | required standard in November 2016. In February 2017, 71% required standard in November 2016. In ard when tested. 3 of these children recei  | had met the reference February 2017, | quired standard. In all 5 children had made |
| To continue to develop writing across KS1 so  | Inset for all staff on Big<br>Write.<br>Introduction of VCOP to all   | Accelerated progress for PP children with more reaching expected standards at the end of the year.   | VIP monitoring<br>Feedback from staff meetings<br>English Lead to monitor  | SLT                                  | Termly                                      |

SLT carried out Inset for all staff in November 2016. During this session, staff were introduced to 'VCOP' and how this could enhance the writing of our Key Stage 1 children. SLT showed staff examples of activities to use in the classroom. 'Big Write' was introduced across Key Stage 1 in November 2016 to encourage a build-up of ideas over the week and an extended piece of writing. Children were then able to demonstrate their skills with better use of grammar, punctuation and vocabulary. Alongside this, a weekly home talk task was introduced to encourage talk for writing skills. SLT carried out a parent workshop in January 2017 which was well attended and well received. Teachers noted that children were coming to school with more ideas verbally rehearsed for their writing. This enabled them to get going with their writing quicker and with more of their own ideas.

The percentage of children achieving greater depth at the end of Key Stage 1 increased from 14% in 2016 to 20% in 2017.

None of the PP children achieved greater depth but 1 of the 3 PP children made 8 steps of progress from his starting point at the end of Year R and he met the expected standard at the end of Year 2.

The percentage of children who were above the Age Related Expectation in Year 1 (so on track to achieve Greater Depth in Year 2) increased over the year from 18% in the Autumn term to 26%. 3 out of the 5 PP children were working 'Broadly at the Age Related Expectation' or above at the end of Year 1. The other 2 children were slightly below. One of these children had made 6 steps progress from her starting point in Year 1.

| to continue to develop<br>maths challenge across<br>KS1 so more children<br>achieve greater depth | Work with TLA Adviser Work with other local school | Accelerated progress for PP children with more reaching expected standards at the end of the year. | VIP monitoring<br>Feedback from staff meetings<br>English Lead to monitor | SLT | Termly |   |
|---|--|--|---|-----|--------|---|
|   | Fluency project with county                        |  |   |     |        | ] |

In the Spring term SLT visited an Infant school in Cheshunt and observed excellent practice. SLT fed this back in an INSET to staff and shared planning examples that encouraged more depth within maths lessons.

SLT updated Maths policy updated and carried out staff INSET on the 'Concrete, Pictorial and Abstract' approach. Staff now include this in all maths planning, ensuring that it enables more solid learning to take place.

TLA Advisor started working with us at the end of Spring 2 and throughout the Summer term. She carried out INSET for all teaching staff which inspired and motivated staff. She also worked with teachers in year groups looking at planning, discussing common issues and observing teaching. The TLA provided effective and detailed feedback which enabled teachers to enhance their maths planning and teaching. The fluency project in Year 2 also highlighted effective ways of teaching basic maths skills which is now embedded within Key Stage 1. All year groups now teach in mixed ability groups which enables more effective peer learning.

The percentage of children achieving greater depth at the end of Key Stage 1 doubled from 14% in 2016 to 28% in 2017.

None of the PP children achieved greater depth nor met the expected standard but 1 of the 3 PP children made 6 steps of progress from his starting point at the end of Year R. The percentage of children who were above the Age Related Expectation in Year 1 (so on track to achieve Greater Depth in Year 2) increased over the year from 10% in the Autumn term to 26%. 4 out of the 5 PP children were working Broadly Age Related Expectation or above at the end of Year 1. The other child was slightly below.

|                    |        |   | Total bu                                    | dgeted cost | £7,000        |
|--------------------|--------|---|---|-------------|---------------|
| ii. Targeted suppo | rt     |   |   |             |               |
| Desired outcome    | Chosen | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead  | When will you |

| Desired outcome   | Chosen action/approach   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will you ensure it is implemented well?  | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|---|--|---|--|------------|--------------------------------------|
| EYFS – to improve reading, writing and math skills for children eligible for PP | PP children targeted 1:1 for<br>additional support in<br>Reading, Writing and<br>Maths | Accelerated progress for PP pupils in all subjects with a view to reaching age related expectations | SLT to monitor via pupil progress<br>meetings, tracking system and VIP<br>monitoring | SLT        | Termly                               |

| children made accelerated progress across the year. Only 1 out of the 5 children (20%) was at the required standard in November 2016. In February 2017, all 5 children had made rogress with their scores. In June 2017, 4 out of the 5 children (80%) met the 2017 National standard when tested, 3 of these children received 3 x weekly phonics booster is in groups of 2. 1 child received 1 to 1 targeted support each day to ensure that they met the required standard in June 2017.  The progress of children in great progress of children in reading, writing and maths in KS1.  The progress of children in year 1 were working at "Broadly Age Related Expectation" or above at the end of Year 1. The other 2 children were slightly below. One of these children had steps progress from her starting point in Year 1. The other 2 children were slightly below. One of these children had steps progress from her starting point in Year 1.  Total budgeted cost  £7,690  What is the evidence and rationale How will you ensure it is  Staff lead When will you ensure it is   |
|--|
| additional support in reading, writing and maths in KS1.  reading, writing and maths with a view to reaching the expected standard or above for the end of the year.  reading, writing and maths in KS1.  reading, writing and maths in KS1.  reading, writing and maths with a view to reaching the expected standard or above for the end of the year.  meetings, tracking system and VIP monitoring  meetings, tracking system and VIP monitoring |
| f the 5 PP children in Year 1 were working at Broadly Age Related Expectation' or above at the end of Year 1. The other 2 children were slightly below. One of these children had steeps progress from her starting point in Year 1.  Total budgeted cost £7,690  Other approaches  ed outcome Chosen What is the evidence and rationale How will you ensure it is Staff lead When will you  |
| ed outcome Chosen What is the evidence and rationale How will you ensure it is Staff lead When will you  |
| ed outcome Chosen What is the evidence and rationale How will you ensure it is Staff lead When will you  |
| action/approach for this choice? implemented well? review implementation   |
| ess enrichment To fund all trips and workshops for PP children social constraints and have equal access to SLT Termly  |
|  |